



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

Data related to dislocation density-based constitutive modeling of the tensile behavior of lath martensitic press hardening steel

Kyoung-Rae Jo^a, Eun-Jung Seo^a, Dimas Hand Sulistiyo^a,
Jin-Kyung Kim^{a,*}, Seong-Woo Kim^b, Bruno C. De Cooman^a

^a Graduate Institute of Ferrous Technology, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea

^b POSCO Technical Research Laboratories, Gwangyang 57807, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 August 2017

Received in revised form

30 August 2017

Accepted 18 September 2017

Available online 22 September 2017

ABSTRACT

The data presented in this article are related to the research article entitled “On the plasticity mechanisms of lath martensitic steel” (Jo et al., 2017) [1]. The strain hardening behavior during tensile deformation of a lath martensitic press hardening steel was described using a dislocation density-based constitutive model. The Kubin–Estrin model was used to describe strain hardening of the material from the evolution of coupled dislocation densities of mobile and immobile forest dislocation. The data presented provide insight into the complex deformation behavior of lath martensitic steel.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2017.08.024>

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: intobe@postech.ac.kr (J.-K. Kim).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2017.09.034>

2352-3409/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Specifications Table

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Subject area | <i>Materials Science</i> |
| More specific subject area | <i>Physical Metallurgy</i> |
| Type of data | <i>Table, Graphs</i> |
| How data was acquired | <i>Constitutive modeling</i> |
| Data format | <i>Raw and analyzed</i> |
| Experimental factors | <i>A cold-rolled 0.35 wt% C press hardening steel (PHS) was used. The tensile samples were austenitized and then quenched to room temperature in order to make fully martensitic microstructure.</i> |
| Experimental features | <i>The Kubin–Estrin model was used to describe the strain hardening behavior during tensile deformation of PHS from the evolution of the coupled densities of mobile dislocations, ρ_m, and immobile forest dislocations, ρ_f.</i> |
| Data source location | <i>Graduate Institute of Ferrous Technology, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Korea</i> |
| Data accessibility | <i>The data are available with this article.</i> |

Value of the data

- The data can be used to explain strain hardening behavior of lath martensitic steel.
- The data provide a foundation for more accurate modeling of strain hardening behavior of lath martensitic steel.
- The data may be compared with the tensile behavior of other lath martensitic steels.

1. Data

The strain hardening behavior during tensile deformation of a lath martensitic press hardening steel (PHS) was described using a dislocation density-based constitutive model. The Kubin–Estrin model was used to describe strain hardening of the material from the evolution of coupled dislocation densities of mobile and immobile forest dislocation. Two models with different parameter values are presented, and the results include stress–strain curves and the evolution of mobile and forest dislocation density with strain, calculated by the models. The parameter values used for modeling are presented in a table.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

A cold-rolled 0.35 wt% C PHS was used [1]. The tensile samples were austenitized and then quenched to room temperature in order to make fully martensitic microstructure. The specimens were tested in tension in an electromechanical universal testing machine using a strain rate of 10^{-3} s^{-1} . The experimental true stress–strain curve of the as-quenched PHS is shown in Fig. 1.

The conventional yield strength (YS), i.e. 0.2% offset stress, of lath martensitic steel is generally high as compared to other steels. However, micro-yielding can occur at stresses lower than the 0.2% offset stress. Due to the absence of a clear yield point in the flow curve of lath martensitic steel, the 0.2% offset YS is considered as the YS of the material in the present work. The equation proposed by Galindo-Nava and Rivera-Diaz-del-Castillo was used to calculate the YS of the PHS [2]:

$$\sigma_{\text{Martensite}} = \sigma_0 + \frac{300}{\sqrt{d_{\text{block}}}} + 0.25MGb\sqrt{\rho} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 1. (a) Comparison of the experimental true stress–strain curve of the PHS and the calculated true stress–strain curve from model 1 and model 2. (b) The calculated strain dependence of forest dislocation density from model 1 and model 2.

Table 1

Parameter values used for numerical simulations.

| Parameters | Original Kubin–Estrin model | Model 1 | Model 2 |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C_1/b^2 | $10^{15}/3$ | $10^{15}/3$ | $10^{15}/3$ |
| C_2 | 0.606 | 1.42 | 0.7 |
| C_3/b | $10^8/3.3$ | 9×10^8 | 9×10^8 |
| C_4 | 3.33 | 7 | 3.5 |

Here, σ_0 is contributions from Peierls stress and solid solution strengthening, M is the Taylor factor, G is the shear modulus, b is the magnitude of the Burgers vector and ρ is the total dislocation density. The equation for σ_0 derived by Rodriguez and Gutierrez [3] yielded 201 MPa considering the chemical composition of the investigated PHS. The present work did not consider solid solution hardening by carbon. Using the block size of 500 nm, the second term in Eq. (1) was estimated to be 424 MPa. The average initial forest dislocation density in the PHS was estimated to be $2.21 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}$ by subtracting the sum of contributions from the first term, i.e. 201 MPa, and the packet size strengthening term, i.e. 424 MPa, from the experimental YS, 1354 MPa. The estimated dislocation density is in a reasonable agreement with the measured dislocation density of a Fe-0.4 wt% C martensitic steel, i.e. $1.42 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}$, reported by Morito et al. [4].

The Kubin–Estrin model was used to describe strain hardening behavior of the PHS from the evolution of coupled densities of mobile dislocations, ρ_m , and immobile forest dislocations, ρ_f [5,6].

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho_m}{d\epsilon} &= M \left[\frac{C_1}{b^2} \left(\frac{\rho_f}{\rho_m} \right) - C_2 \rho_m - \frac{C_3}{b} \sqrt{\rho_f} \right] \\ \frac{d\rho_f}{d\epsilon} &= M \left[C_2 \rho_m + \frac{C_3}{b} \sqrt{\rho_f} - C_4 \rho_f \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In these equations, C_1 specifies the magnitude of the dislocation generation term, with forest obstacles acting as pinning points for fixed dislocation sources. C_2 takes into account the mobile dislocation density decrease by interactions between mobile dislocations. C_3 describes the immobilization of mobile dislocations assuming a spatially organized forest structure. C_4 is associated with dynamic recovery by rearrangement and annihilation of forest dislocations by climb or cross slip. C_2 and C_4 account for thermally activated mechanisms such as cross-slip and climb [5].

The parameters C_1 , C_2 , C_3 and C_4 used in the present work are listed in Table 1. The parameters in original Kubin–Estrin model were chosen based on typical FCC metals and alloys [6]. In the present work, a much higher values of C_3 were used to describe the high initial work hardening of PHS as compared to the value in the original Kubin–Estrin model. The numerical values of the parameters were $G=81.6 \text{ GPa}$, $b=0.248 \text{ nm}$ and $M=3.067$.

Two models were analyzed. In the first model, i.e. model 1, high values of C_2 and C_4 were used since BCC metals and alloys generally have higher cross-slip activity as compared to FCC metals and alloys. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the experimental flow stress is much higher than the calculated flow stress by model 1. In the second model, i.e. model 2, lower values of C_2 and C_4 were used in order to match the experimental and calculated flow stresses. Neither model could however describe the high initial work hardening rate shown in the experimental flow curve.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the POSCO Technical Research Laboratories, Gwangyang, South Korea.

Transparency document. Supplementary material

Transparency document associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2017.09.034>.

References

- [1] K.-R. Jo, E.-J. Seo, D.H. Sulistiyo, J.-K. Kim, S.-W. Kim, B.C. De Cooman, On the plasticity mechanisms of lath martensitic steel, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 704 (2017) 252–261.
- [2] E. Galindo-Nava, P. Rivera-Díaz-del-Castillo, A model for the microstructure behaviour and strength evolution in lath martensite, *Acta Mater.* 98 (2015) 81–93.
- [3] R.-M. Rodríguez, I. Gutiérrez, Unified formulation to predict the tensile curves of steels with different microstructures, *Mater. Sci. Forum* (2003) 4525–4530.
- [4] S. Morito, J. Nishikawa, T. Maki, Dislocation density within lath martensite in Fe–C and Fe–Ni alloys, *ISIJ Int.* 43 (2003) 1475–1477.
- [5] Y. Estrin, L. Kubin, Local strain hardening and nonuniformity of plastic deformation, *Acta Metall.* 34 (1986) 2455–2464.
- [6] L. Kubin, Y. Estrin, Evolution of dislocation densities and the critical conditions for the Portevin-Le Chatelier effect, *Acta Metall. Mater.* 38 (1990) 697–708.